

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,745	HALL, SHAWN ANTHONY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	

All Participants:

(1) Boris L. Chervinsky.

(2) David Aker, Reg. No. 29,277.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 7, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend claim 6 and cancel claims 7 and 23 to avoid rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**BORIS CHERVINSKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Boris L. Chervinsky
3/7/6

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)